

Representations of global supersymmetries for all N

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article.

1983 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 16 321

(<http://iopscience.iop.org/0305-4470/16/2/015>)

View [the table of contents for this issue](#), or go to the [journal homepage](#) for more

Download details:

IP Address: 129.252.86.83

The article was downloaded on 31/05/2010 at 06:48

Please note that [terms and conditions apply](#).

Representations of global supersymmetries for all N

G R J Bufton and J G Taylor

Department of Mathematics, King's College, London, UK

Received 29 July 1982

Abstract. We present the global supersymmetry rules for the fundamental representation of N -extended supersymmetry for all N and an associated invariant quadratic Lagrangian. This is achieved by the use of a suitable set of basis functions defined in terms of the spinor generators acting on the vacuum state of the representation. These basis functions allow the action of the generators on them to be obtained explicitly, as well as their internal symmetry properties. Specific examples are given of this for $N = 3, 4, 6$ and 8 .

1. Introduction

In order to obtain a full quantum field theory for N -extended supergravity with $N \leq 8$ in explicitly superfield-theoretic or locally supersymmetric form it is necessary to have the auxiliary field structures. These latter are also of greatest importance in obtaining the torsion constraints in a superspace formulation in a differential geometric framework. These auxiliary fields are now known for $N = 1$ (Stelle and West 1978, Ferrara and van Nieuwenhuizen 1978, Sohnius and West 1981) and 2 (Fradkin and Vasiliev 1979, de Wit and van Holten 1979). It has been shown that there is a barrier to the usual constructions at $N = 3$ (Rivelles and Taylor 1981, 1982c, Taylor 1982a) where representations with central charge are essential in order to circumvent the 'no-go' theorems.

A method has been developed recently (Taylor 1982b, c, Taylor and Rivelles 1982, Rivelles and Taylor 1982d) for obtaining the auxiliary fields at the linearised level by discovering those irreps of the N -extended supersymmetry algebra, S_N , which may be combined through field redefinition rules to produce linearised N -extended supergravity with no non-local terms in the SUSY transformation laws for the redefined fields. These latter are the physical fields known for N up to 8 and the auxiliary fields yet to be discovered for $N \geq 3$. A similar approach can be used to construct $N = 4$ SYM where again no auxiliary field structure is presently known.

The method described above has been used to discover two new non-minimal $20+20$ formulations of $N = 1$ supergravity at the linearised level (Rivelles and Taylor 1982a) and prove that there are no other auxiliary field sets for this value of N . It has also been used to discover (Rivelles and Taylor 1982b) a new $40+40$ set of auxiliary fields for $N = 2$ supergravity at the linear level, in this case containing a central charge on the auxiliary multiplets.

In order to use this method to construct linearised off-shell supergravity for higher N it is necessary to have the irreducible representations (irreps) of the associated algebra, S_N . We present the transformation laws for the component fields and an associated invariant quadratic Lagrangian for the fundamental superspin $Y = 0$ and

$SU(N)$ singlet irrep. This is done for general N by means of basis functions of the fundamental irrep which were introduced earlier for $N = 1$ and 2 (Jarvis 1976, Taylor 1980a, b). These functions form a halfway house between the full superfield approach and a component approach and, as such, are of value for providing a bridge between these two extremes.

In the next section we define these basis functions for any N and obtain their transformations under global SUSY in § 3. The transformation rules for the associated component fields are deduced in § 4 along with appropriate reality conditions. These conditions were introduced originally for $N = 2$ SUSY (Firth and Jenkins 1975) and extended to general N in terms of superprojectors (Siegel and Gates 1981). In § 5 we give the specific transformation laws and Lagrangians for the interesting cases of $N = 3, 4, 6, 8$. The case $N = 4$ has already been published (de Wit 1981), the other cases appearing here for the first time to our knowledge. In a final section we discuss the relevance of these results.

2. Basis functions

In order to specify our notation, and also to define the basis functions of a particular irreducible representation of the SUSY algebra S_N , we consider the SUSY generators $(S_{\alpha+}^i, S_{\alpha-i})$ in chiral notation with $(S_{\alpha+}^i)^* = S_{\alpha-i}$. The algebra satisfied by these generators, in the absence of central charges, will be

$$[S_{\alpha+}^i, S_{\beta-j}]_+ = -2(\not{\eta})_{\alpha+\beta} \delta_j^i, \quad [S_{\alpha+}^i, S_{\beta+}^j]_+ = 0 \quad (2.1)$$

with η the charge conjugation matrix and the generators $P_\mu, J_{\mu\nu}$ have standard commutators. The representation theory of S_N (Taylor 1980a, b, 1982b, c, Taylor and Rivelles 1982, Rivelles and Taylor 1982d, Jarvis 1976, Pickup and Taylor 1981, Pickup unpublished, Rittenberg and Sokatchev 1981, Ferrara *et al* 1981, Ferrara and Savoy 1982) shows that each irrep may be classified by various Casimirs constructed from the covariant operators $(D_{\alpha+}^i, D_{\alpha-i})$ with

$$[S_{\alpha+}^i, D_{\alpha+}^j]_+ = [S_{\alpha+}^i, D_{\beta-j}]_+ = 0 \text{ etc} \\ [D_{\alpha+}^i, D_{\beta-j}]_+ = +2(\not{\eta})_{\alpha+\beta} \delta_j^i, \quad [D_{\alpha+}^i, D_{\beta+}^j]_+ = 0. \quad (2.2)$$

The extension of the Pauli-Lubanski vector for the Poincaré group to S_N gives as a Casimir the superspin Y , with values $0, \frac{1}{2}, \dots$. We may also extend the $SU(N)$ operators acting as automorphisms of S_N so as to commute with S_N ; the associated Casimirs correspond to those of irreps of $SU(N)$. Each irrep, with again Y and $SU(N)$ classification, has Lorentz spin content classified by $USp(2N)$, each spin value having states classified by $SU(N)$. This irrep can be constructed by applying the generators $S_{\alpha-i}$ to a suitable vacuum state with Lorentz and $SU(N)$ transformation laws of the irrep. The states may then be reduced by the usual rules of direct product decomposition of irreps of the Lorentz group and $SU(N)$.

We will concentrate our attention on the $Y = 0$ singlet $SU(N)$ irrep (the fundamental irrep), since all other irreps may be obtained by addition of suitable Lorentz and $SU(N)$ indices to all states of the fundamental irrep. We proceed by defining the vacuum state of the irrep as a differential operator on component functions as

$$|0\rangle = \prod_{i=1}^N (\bar{S}^i S^i) \cdot 1. \quad (2.3)$$

By (2.3) we mean that we consider $S_{\alpha+}^i$ as the differential operator

$$S_{\alpha+}^i = i(\partial/\partial\bar{\theta}_{\alpha+i} + (\not{p}\theta^i)_{\alpha+}) \tag{2.4}$$

acting on the constant function of θ ; the action of \not{p} is thus non-trivial. That $|0\rangle$ in (2.3) is the chiral $Y=0$ vacuum is clear from the fact that we may replace $D_{\alpha+}^i$ by $S_{\alpha+}^i$ when acting on 1, so that

$$D_{\alpha+}^i|0\rangle = \prod_{i=1}^N (\bar{S}_{\alpha+}^i S_{\alpha+}^i) S_{\alpha+}^i \cdot 1 = 0 \tag{2.5}$$

which is the condition for the chiral vacuum state. Equation (2.5) will also be satisfied by all states constructed from (2.3) by application of products of $S_{\alpha-j}$. We must decompose these according to irreps of the Lorentz and $SU(N)$ groups, and so, by standard arguments, have the complete set of basis functions

$$e_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_l - k_1 \dots k_m}^{r_1 \dots r_{N-l-m}} = \varepsilon^{i_1 \dots i_l j_1 \dots j_m r_1 \dots r_{N-l-m}} \prod_{u=1}^m (\bar{S}_{-j_u} S_{-k_u}) \prod_{i=1}^l S_{\alpha_i - i} |0\rangle. \tag{2.6}$$

We then get the superfield expansion

$$\phi = \sum_{l,m} e_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_l - k_1 \dots k_m}^{r_1 \dots r_{N-l-m}} A^{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_l - k_1 \dots k_m}_{r_1 \dots r_{N-l-m}} \tag{2.7}$$

where we take the field components, $A^{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_l - k_1 \dots k_m}_{r_1 \dots r_{N-l-m}}$, to have the same structure as the basis functions i.e. symmetry in the spinor components and asymmetry in the two sets (upstairs, downstairs) of internal indices with a δ -traceless condition between the two. This gives the field component the same characteristics as the basis function and so it carries the same Lorentz and $SU(N)$ labels.

3. Transformation of basis functions

In order to obtain the SUSY transformation rules of the component functions of (2.7), we need to obtain those of the basis functions (2.6). We therefore consider the effect of acting with an extra $S_{\beta-i}$ on the basis functions (2.6). The method of direct product multiplication tells us that this would add an extra box to the Young tableau for the $SU(N)$ irrep of the basis function. Hence, labelling the basis function by the number of its downstairs indices, (l, m) , we see that under the action of $S_{\beta-j}$,

$$(l, m) \rightarrow (l + 1, m) + (l - 1, m + 1).$$

Bearing in mind that the basis functions are δ -traceless on the k 's and r 's, we get

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\beta-i} e_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_l - k_1 \dots k_m}^{r_1 \dots r_{N-l-m}} &= a \delta_i^{[r_1} e_{\beta - \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_l - k_1 \dots k_m}^{r_2 \dots r_{N-l-m}} + b \delta_{[i}^{r_1} e_{\beta - \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_l - k_1 \dots k_m}^{r_2 \dots r_{N-l-m}}] \\ &\quad + c \eta_{\beta - (\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_l -)} e_{\alpha_2 \dots \alpha_l - k_1 \dots k_m}^{r_1 \dots r_{N-l-m}} \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

where here we use the convention of no dividing factors in the symmetrisation or antisymmetrisation.

The δ -traceless condition then gives us

$$b = [(l + 1)m']^{-1} a. \tag{3.2}$$

Contracting with $\delta_{r_1}^i$ and $\eta^{\beta - \alpha_1 -}$ in turn, both on the RHS of (3.1) and explicitly on

the LHS, using (2.6), we get the values

$$\begin{aligned}
 a &= \frac{(-1)^{l+m}(N-l-m)}{(2+l+m)(N-l-m)!}, & b &= \frac{(-1)^{l+m}(N-l-m)}{(2+l+m)(N-l-m)!m!(l+1)}, \\
 c &= \frac{(-1)^l l}{(l+1)!}.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.3}$$

If, instead, we were to act with $S_{\beta+}^i$ on the basis functions (2.6) we would get, using (2.1) to pull the S_+ through onto the S_+ 's in $|0\rangle$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 S_{\beta+e_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_l} - k_1 \dots k_m}^i &= \frac{(-1)^{l+m} l}{l!} 2(\not{\rho}\eta)_{\beta+(\alpha_1 - e_{\alpha_2 \dots \alpha_l})} \delta_{[k_1 \dots k_m]}^{i r_1 \dots r_{N-l-m}} \\
 &+ \frac{(-1)^{l+1} m}{m!} 2(\not{\rho})_{\beta+} \delta_{[k_1 e_{\rho-\alpha_1} \dots k_2 \dots k_m]}^{i r_1 \dots r_{N-l-m}} \\
 &+ \frac{(-1)^m m}{m!} 2(\not{\rho})_{\beta+} \rho^- S_{\rho-[k_1 e_{\alpha_1} \dots k_2 \dots k_m]}^{i r_1 \dots r_{N-l-m}}.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.4}$$

The last term is then given by (3.1), giving us the transformation rule

$$\begin{aligned}
 S_{\beta+e_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_l} - k_1 \dots k_m}^i &= a' 2(\not{\rho})_{\beta+} \gamma^- \delta_{[k_1 e_{\gamma-\alpha_1} \dots k_2 \dots k_m]}^{i r_1 \dots r_{N-l-m}} \\
 &+ b' 2(\not{\rho})_{\beta+} \gamma^- \delta_{[k_1 e_{\gamma-\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_l} - k_2 \dots k_m]}^{i r_1 \dots r_{N-l-m}} \\
 &+ c' 2(\not{\rho}\eta)_{\beta+(\alpha_1 - e_{\alpha_2 \dots \alpha_l})} \delta_{[k_1 \dots k_m]}^{i r_1 \dots r_{N-l-m}}
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.5}$$

where

$$a' = \frac{(-1)^{l+1} m}{m!}, \quad b' = \frac{(-1)^{l+1} m}{m!(N-m-l)!(l+1)}, \quad c' = \frac{(-1)^{l+m} l(1+l+m)}{(l+1)!}.
 \tag{3.5a}$$

In the special case that $l = 0, m = N/2$ (for even N), there is a double ε condition on $e_{k_1 \dots k_{N/2}}^{r_1 \dots r_{N/2}}$ of form

$$e_{k_1 \dots k_{N/2}}^{r_1 \dots r_{N/2}} = \frac{(-1)^{N/2}}{[(N/2)!]^2} \varepsilon^{r_1 \dots r_{N/2} s_1 \dots s_{N/2}} \varepsilon_{k_1 \dots k_{N/2} l_1 \dots l_{N/2}} e_{s_1 \dots s_{N/2}}^{l_1 \dots l_{N/2}}.$$

If this is applied to (3.1) we see that we may combine the first and second terms on the RHS, whilst the third term is zero due to $l = 0$. The same arguments as above lead to

$$\begin{aligned}
 S_{\beta-i} e_{k_1 \dots k_{N/2}}^{r_1 \dots r_{N/2}} &= \frac{1}{2} [a + (\frac{1}{2}N)! b] (\delta_i^{[r_1} e_{\beta-k_1 \dots k_{N/2}}]^{r_2 \dots r_{N/2}} \\
 &+ \frac{(-1)^{N/2}}{[(\frac{1}{2}N)!]^2} \varepsilon^{r_1 \dots r_{N/2} s_1 \dots s_{N/2}} \varepsilon_{k_1 \dots k_{N/2} l_1 \dots l_{N/2}} \delta_i^{[l_1} e_{\beta-s_1 \dots s_{N/2}}]^{l_2 \dots l_{N/2}})
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.1a}$$

and a similar expression for $s_{\beta+i} e_{k_1 \dots k_{N/2}}^{r_1 \dots r_{N/2}}$; the values of a, b, a' and b' entering in these formulae are unchanged from (3.3) and (3.5a).

In general, we may impose a reality condition on the basis functions in the case of even N . These transformation rules ((3.1), (3.3) and (3.5)) then enable us to evaluate this reality condition explicitly for the basis function in this case. Under such circumstances we can relate basis functions of opposite chirality by

$$F e_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_l - k_1 \dots k_m}^{r_1 \dots r_{N-l-m}} = C(N, l, m) 2^l \prod_{n=1}^l \not{x}_{\alpha_n}^{-\beta_n +} e_{\beta_1 \dots \beta_l + k_1 \dots k_m}^{r_1 \dots r_{N-l-m}} \quad (3.6)$$

where $F = \Pi D_+ D_+ \Pi D_- D_-$.

Since $S_{\gamma-i}$ commutes with F we can act with it on either side of (3.6), and use (2.1), (3.3) and (3.5) to give

$$\begin{aligned} F(a \delta_i^{[r_1} e_{\gamma-\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_l - k_1 \dots k_m}^{r_2 \dots]} + b \delta_i^{[r_1} e_{\gamma-\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_l - k_1 \dots k_m}^{r_2 \dots r_{N-l-m}]} + c \eta_{\gamma-(\alpha_1 - e_{\alpha_2 \dots})} e_{i k_1 \dots k_m}^{r_1 \dots r_{N-l-m}}) \\ = C(N, l, m) 2^l \prod_{i=1}^l \not{x}_{\alpha_i}^{-\beta_i +} (a'' 2(\not{x})_{\gamma-} \rho^+ \delta_i^{[r_1} e_{\rho+\beta_1+\dots\beta_l + k_1 \dots k_m}^{r_2 \dots r_{N-l-m}]} \\ + b'' 2(\not{x})_{\gamma-} \rho^+ \delta_i^{[r_1} e_{\rho+\beta_1+\dots\beta_l + k_1 \dots k_m}^{r_2 \dots r_{N-l-m}]} + c'' 2(\not{x}\eta)_{\gamma-(\beta_1 + e_{\beta_2+\dots\beta_l +})} e_{i k_1 \dots k_m}^{r_1 \dots r_{N-l-m}}) \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} a'' &= \frac{(-1)^{l+1}(N-l-m)}{(N-l-m)!}, & b'' &= \frac{(-1)^{l+1}(N-l-m)}{(N-l-m)! m! (l+1)}, \\ c'' &= \frac{(-1)^{N-m} l (N-m+1)}{(l+1)!}. \end{aligned}$$

These coefficients are the $SU(N)$ -conjugate versions of a' , b' , c' .

By applying (3.6) and equating terms we get

$$aC(N, l+1, m) = a''C(N, l, m) \quad (3.8a)$$

$$bC(N, l+1, m) = b''C(N, l, m) \quad (3.8b)$$

$$cC(N, l-1, m+1) = -4p^2 c''C(N, l, m). \quad (3.8c)$$

On substitution, the first two are equivalent and we get

$$\begin{aligned} C(N, l+1, m) &= (-1)^{m+1} (2+l+m) C(N, l, m) \\ C(N, l-1, m+1) &= (-1)^{N-l-m+1} (4p^2)(N-m+1) C(N, l, m) \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

where these are properly defined, i.e. $0 \leq l, 0 \leq m, 0 \leq N-l-m$. These equations are also true for $n = N/2$, although the derivation is slightly different.

Solving (3.9) recursively gives us

$$C(N, l, m) = -(-1)^{(l+1)(m+1)} \frac{(1+l+m)!(N+1)!}{(N-m+1)!} (4p^2)^m C(N, 0, 0). \quad (3.10)$$

But $F^2 = (16p^2)^N$, so applying F twice gives

$$\begin{aligned} F^2 e_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_l - k_1 \dots k_m}^{r_1 \dots r_{N-l-m}} \\ = C(N, l, m) C(N, l, N-l-m) (4p^2)^l e_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_l - k_1 \dots k_m}^{r_1 \dots r_{N-l-m}} \\ = (-1)^{(l+1)(m+1+N-l-m+1)} ((N+1)!)^2 (4p^2)^N e_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_l - k_1 \dots k_m}^{r_1 \dots r_{N-l-m}} \end{aligned}$$

giving

$$\begin{aligned}
 C(N, 0, 0) &= 2^N / (N + 1)! \\
 C(N, l, m) &= -(-1)^{(l+1)(m+1)} (4p^2)^m 2^N (1+l+m)! / (N-m+1)!.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.11}$$

This gives us our reality condition between positive and negative chirality basis functions. This will enable us to obtain similar conditions on the field components in § 4.

4. Transformation of components

The usual definition of the SUSY transformations δA of the component functions A of (2.7) is

$$\delta\phi = (\bar{\epsilon}S)\phi = \sum_{l,m} (\bar{\epsilon}S)eA = \sum_{l,m} e\delta A
 \tag{4.1}$$

where we have suppressed the indices for compactness. From § 3 we know the effect of δ on the basis functions:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\bar{\epsilon}S)e_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_l - k_1 \dots k_m}^{r_1 \dots r_{N-l-m}} &= (\bar{\epsilon}^{i\beta-} S_{\beta-i} + \bar{\epsilon}_i^{\beta+} S_{\beta+}^i) e_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_l - k_1 \dots k_m}^{r_1 \dots r_{N-l-m}} \\
 &= a\bar{\epsilon}^{[r_1\beta-} e_{\beta-\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_l - k_1 \dots k_m}^{r_2 \dots r_{N-l-m]} + b\bar{\epsilon}^{i\beta-} \delta_{[i}^{r_1} e_{\beta-\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_l - k_1 \dots k_m}^{r_2 \dots r_{N-l-m]} \\
 &\quad + ce^i_{[\alpha_1} e_{\alpha_2 \dots \alpha_l - k_1 \dots k_m}^{r_1 \dots r_{N-l-m]} + a'2(\bar{\epsilon} + [k_1 \not{p}])^{\gamma-} e_{\gamma-\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_l - k_2 \dots k_m}^{r_1 \dots r_{N-l-m}} \\
 &\quad + b'(2\bar{\epsilon} + i\not{p})^{\gamma-} \delta_{[k_1}^i e_{\gamma-\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_l - k_2 \dots k_m}^{r_1 \dots r_{N-l-m]} + c'2(\bar{\epsilon} + i\not{p}\eta)_{(\alpha_1} e_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_l - k_1 \dots k_m}^{ir_1 \dots r_{N-l-m}}
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{4.2}$$

where a, b, c, a', b', c' are given in (3.3) and (3.5a).

Contracting with $A^{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_l - k_1 \dots k_m}_{r_1 \dots r_{N-l-m}}$ and remembering that $\delta_k^k A = 0$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\bar{\epsilon}S)(eA) &= \frac{(a+m!b)(N-l-m)!}{(l+1)!} \bar{\epsilon}^{r_1[\beta-} e_{\beta-\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_l - k_1 \dots k_m}^{r_2 \dots r_{N-l-m}} A^{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_l - k_1 \dots k_m}_{r_1 \dots r_{N-l-m}} \\
 &\quad + \frac{cl!}{(m+1)!} \epsilon_{\alpha_1}^{[i} e_{\alpha_2 \dots \alpha_l - k_1 \dots k_m}^{r_1 \dots r_{N-l-m}} A^{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_l - k_1 \dots k_m}_{r_1 \dots r_{N-l-m}} \\
 &\quad + \frac{(a' + (N-l-m)!b')m!}{(l+1)!} 2(\bar{\epsilon} + k_1 \not{p})^{i\gamma-} e_{\gamma-\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_l - k_2 \dots k_m}^{r_1 \dots r_{N-l-m}} A^{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_l - k_1 \dots k_m}_{r_1 \dots r_{N-l-m}} \\
 &\quad + \frac{c'l!}{(N-l-m+1)!} 2(\bar{\epsilon} - [i\not{p}\eta)_{\alpha_1} e_{\alpha_2 \dots \alpha_l - k_1 \dots k_m}^{ir_1 \dots r_{N-l-m}} A^{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_l - k_1 \dots k_m}_{r_1 \dots r_{N-l-m}}.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{4.3}$$

Taking terms in $e_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_l - k_1 \dots k_m}^{r_1 \dots r_{N-l-m}}$ gives us on substitution and with a factor $(-1)^l$ from pulling the ϵ through the basis function

$$\begin{aligned}
 \delta A^{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_l - k_1 \dots k_m}_{r_1 \dots r_{N-l-m}} &= (-1)^{N+1} \frac{(N-l-m+1)(l+1)}{(1+l+m)l \cdot l!} \bar{\epsilon}^{i\alpha_1-} A^{\alpha_2 \dots \alpha_l - k_1 \dots k_m}_{ir_1 \dots r_{N-l-m}} \\
 &\quad - \frac{l+1}{m!(l+2)} \epsilon_{\rho-}^{[k_1} A^{\rho-\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_l - k_2 \dots k_m]} \delta\text{-traceless}_{r_1 \dots r_{N-l-m}}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \frac{m+1}{l!l} (l+1) 2(\bar{\varepsilon}_{+i} \not{p})^{(\alpha_1^- A^{\alpha_2^- \dots}) i k_1 \dots k_m}_{r_1 \dots r_{N-l-m}} \\
 & + (-1)^{m+1} \frac{(l+1)(2+l+m)}{(l+2)(N-l-m)!} 2(\bar{\varepsilon}_{+[r_1} \not{p} \eta)_{\rho} A^{\rho-\alpha_1^- \dots \alpha_l^- k_1 \dots k_m}_{r_2 \dots r_{N-l-m}}]_{\delta\text{-traceless}}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

Here, we have had to apply trace conditions on two of the terms in order to keep the $SU(N)$ classification for the components. This involves adding extra terms which vanish on contraction with the basis function. For example,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \varepsilon_{\rho}^{[k_1} A^{\rho-\alpha_1^- \dots \alpha_l^- k_2 \dots k_m]}_{r_1 \dots r_{N-l-m}}]_{\delta\text{-traceless}} \\
 & = \varepsilon_{\rho}^{[k_1} A^{\rho-\alpha_1^- \dots \alpha_l^- k_2 \dots k_m]}_{r_1 \dots r_{N-l-m}} - \frac{1}{(l+2)} \sum_{s=1}^{N-l-m} \varepsilon_{\rho}^j \delta_{r_s}^{[k_1} A^{\rho-\alpha_1^- \dots k_2 \dots]}_{r_1 \dots r_{s-1} j r_{s+1} \dots r_{N-l-m}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Redefining

$$\begin{aligned}
 A^{\alpha_1^- \dots \alpha_l^- k_1 \dots k_m}_{r_1 \dots r_{N-l-m}} & = \prod_{s=1}^{l/2} (i\eta \gamma^{\mu_s} \not{p})^{(\alpha_{2s-1}^- \alpha_{2s}^-)} A_{\mu_1' \dots \mu_{l/2}'}^k & l \text{ even} \\
 & = \prod_{s=1}^{(l-1)/2} (i\eta \gamma^{\mu_s} \not{p})^{(\alpha_{2s-1}^- \alpha_{2s}^- A^{\alpha_l^-})} A_{\mu_1' \dots \mu_{(l-1)/2}'}^k & l \text{ odd}
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

with

$$p^{\mu_1} A_{\mu_1' \dots r}^k = p^{\mu_1} A_{\mu_1' \dots r}^{\alpha^- k} = (\gamma^{\mu_1} A_{\mu_1' \dots r}^k)_{\alpha^-} = 0 = g^{\mu_1 \mu_2} A_{\mu_1 \mu_2 \dots} = g^{\mu_1 \mu_2} A_{\mu_1 \mu_2 \dots}^{\alpha^-}$$

and using

$$\bar{\varepsilon}^{i(\alpha_1^- A^{\alpha_2^-}) k}_{ir} = \frac{1}{2p^2} (i\eta \gamma^{\nu} \not{p})^{\alpha_1^- \alpha_2^-} (\bar{\varepsilon}^{i-} i \gamma_{\nu} \not{p} A_{\mu}^k)$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 \delta A_{\mu' r_1 \dots r_{N-l-m}}^{k_1 \dots k_m} & = \frac{(-1)^{m+1} (N-l-m+1)(l+1)}{2l^2(1+l+m)(l/2)!} \frac{i}{p^2} (\bar{\varepsilon}^i \gamma_{(\mu_1} \not{p} A_{\mu_2 \dots)}^k) \\
 & + \frac{(l+2)^2}{m!(l+2)} (\bar{\varepsilon}^{[k_1} A_{\mu' r}^{k_2 \dots k_m]}]_{\delta\text{-traceless}} - \frac{(m+1)(l+1)}{l^2(l/2)!} i (\bar{\varepsilon}^i \gamma_{(\mu_1} A_{\mu_2 \dots)}^k)_{r} \\
 & + \frac{(-1)^m (l+1)^2 (2+l+m)}{(l+2)(N-l-m)!} 2(\bar{\varepsilon}_{[r_1} \not{p} A_{\mu_1' \dots r_2 \dots]}^k)_{\delta\text{-traceless}}
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.6a}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \delta A_{\mu' \alpha^- r_1 \dots r_{N-l-m}}^{k_1 \dots k_m} & = \frac{(-1)^{m+1} (N-l-m+1)(l+1)}{(1+l+m)l^2} \varepsilon_{\alpha^-}^i A_{\mu' r}^k \\
 & + \frac{(l+1)^2}{m!(l+2)} i (\not{p} \gamma^{\nu'} \varepsilon_{-}^{[k_1} A_{\nu' \mu' r}^{k_2 \dots k_m]}]_{\delta\text{-traceless}} \\
 & - \frac{(m+1)(l+1)}{(l+2)} 2(\not{p} \varepsilon_{+i})_{\alpha^-} A_{\mu' r}^{ik} \\
 & + \frac{(-1)^m (l+1)^2 (2+l+m)}{(l+2)(N-l-m)!} 2i (\gamma^{\nu'} \varepsilon_{[r_1+})_{\alpha^-} p^2 A_{\nu' \mu' r_2 \dots r_{N-l-m}}^k]_{\delta\text{-traceless}}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.6b}$$

However, we want (4.6*b*) to be γ -traceless as well so we need to modify this slightly (again the modification vanishes when contracted with the respective basis function). The terms affected are the first and third, e.g.

$$\varepsilon_{\alpha-}^i A_{\mu'ir}^k \rightarrow \varepsilon_{\alpha-}^i A_{\mu'ir}^k - \frac{1}{l} \sum_{s=1}^{(l-1)/2} (\gamma_{\mu_s} \gamma^{\nu_s} \varepsilon_-^i)_{\alpha-} A_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_{s-1} \nu_{\mu_s+1} \dots r}^k \tag{4.6c}$$

giving $(\gamma \cdot \delta A) = 0$ as required.

Finally, we redefine

$$\begin{aligned} B_{\mu' r N-l-m}^{k_m} &= (p^2)^{[(l+m+1)/2]} A_{\mu' r}^k \left(\frac{(l!)^2}{(l+1)!} (1+l+m)! \right) 2^{l+m} \\ \psi_{\mu' \alpha r N-l-m}^{k_m} &= ((\not{p})^{l+m} A_{\mu' r}^k)_{\alpha} \left(\frac{(l!)^2}{(l+1)!} (1+l+m)! \right) 2^{l+m} \\ &= p_{\alpha}^{\beta_1} \dots p_{\beta_{l+m-1}}^{\beta_{l+m}} A_{\mu' \beta_{l+m} r}^k \left(\frac{(l!)^2}{(l+1)!} (1+l+m)! \right) 2^{l+m} \end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

giving \pm chirality for m even/odd.

This gives us, for even m ,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta B_{\mu r}^k &= \frac{(-1)^{m+1} (N-l-m+1)}{(l/2)!} i \bar{\varepsilon}^{-i} \gamma_{(\mu_i} \psi_{+\mu_2 \dots)}^k{}_{ir} \\ &+ \frac{1}{m!} \left(\bar{\varepsilon}^{-[k_1} \psi_{-\mu' r}^{k_2 \dots]} - \frac{1}{l+2} \bar{\varepsilon}^{-i} \sum_{s=1}^{N-m-l} \delta_{r_s}^{[k_1} \psi_{-\mu_1 \dots r_{l+1} j r_{s+1} j r_{s+1} \dots r_{N-l-m}]^{k_m}} \right) \\ &- \frac{m+1}{(l/2)!} i \bar{\varepsilon}_{+i} \gamma_{(\mu_i} \psi_{-\mu_2 \dots)}^k{}_{r}{}^{ik} + \frac{(-1)^m}{(N-l-m)!} \left(\bar{\varepsilon}_{+[r_1} \psi_{+\mu_1 \dots r_2 \dots r_{N-l-m}]^{k_1 \dots k_m}} \right) \\ &- \frac{1}{l+2} \sum_{s=1}^m \bar{\varepsilon}_{+j} \delta_{r_1}^{k_1} \psi_{+\mu_1 \dots r_2 \dots r_{N-l-m}]^{k_2 \dots k_{s-1} j k_{s+1} \dots k_m}} \end{aligned} \tag{4.8a}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \delta \psi_{\mu \alpha + r N-l-m}^{k_m} &= (-1)^{m+1} 2(N-l-m+1) \left((\not{p} \varepsilon_-^i)_{\alpha+} B_{\mu' ir}^k \right. \\ &- \frac{1}{l} \sum_{s=1}^{(l-1)/2} (\gamma_{\mu_s} \gamma^{\nu_s} \not{p} \varepsilon_-^i)_{\alpha+} B_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_{s-1} \nu_{\mu_s+1} \dots r}^k \left. \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{m!} i \left((\gamma^{\nu'} \varepsilon_-^{[k_1})_{\alpha+} B_{\nu' \mu' r}^{k_2 \dots k_m]} \right. \\ &- \frac{1}{l+2} \sum_{s=1}^{N-l-m} (\gamma^{\nu} \varepsilon_-^j)_{\alpha+} \delta_{r_1}^{[k_1} B_{\nu' \mu' r_2 \dots r_{s-1} j r_{s+1} \dots r_{N-l-m}]^{k_m}} \left. \right) \\ &- 2(m+1) \varepsilon_{\alpha+i} B_{\mu' r}^{ik} - \frac{1}{l} \sum_{s=1}^{(l-1)/2} (\gamma_{\mu_s} \gamma^{\nu} \varepsilon_{+i})_{\alpha+} B_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_{s-1} \nu_{\mu_s+1} \dots r}^{ik} \\ &+ \frac{(-1)^m}{(N-l-m)!} i \left((\not{p} \gamma^{\nu} \varepsilon_{+[r_1})_{\alpha+} B_{\nu' \mu' r_2 \dots]}^{k_1 \dots k_m} \right. \\ &- \frac{1}{l+2} \sum_{s=1}^m (\not{p} \gamma^{\nu} \varepsilon_{-j})_{\alpha+} \delta_{[r_1}^{k_1} B_{\nu' \mu' r_2 \dots]}^{k_1 \dots k_{s-1} j k_{s+1} \dots k_m} \left. \right) \end{aligned} \tag{4.8b}$$

plus similar terms for m odd, each with extra factors of \not{p} in appropriate places.

However, for even N we have the double ε condition on the $m = N/2$ basis function. This carries over onto the field component and we get the transformation rules

$$\begin{aligned} \delta B_{r_1 \dots r_{N/2}}^{k_1 \dots k_{N/2}} &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{(N/2)!} (\bar{\varepsilon}_{-}^{[k_1} \psi_{-r_1 \dots r_{N/2}}^{k_2 \dots k_{N/2}]} + \bar{\varepsilon}_{+}^{[r_1} \psi_{+r_2 \dots r_{N/2}}^{k_1 \dots k_{N/2}]}) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \frac{(-1)^{N/2}}{[(N/2)!]^2} \varepsilon^{k_1 \dots k_{N/2} l_1 \dots l_{N/2}} \varepsilon_{r_1 \dots r_{N/2} s_1 \dots s_{N/2}} (\bar{\varepsilon}_{-}^{s_1} \psi_{-r_1 \dots r_{N/2}}^{s_2 \dots s_{N/2}} + \bar{\varepsilon}_{+}^{r_1} \psi_{+r_2 \dots r_{N/2}}^{k_1 \dots k_{N/2}}) \end{aligned}$$

with the extra factor \not{p} should $N/2$ be odd.

Taking N even, we get the reality condition mentioned in § 3:

$$F\phi = (16p^2)^{N/2} \phi^* = (16p^2)^{N/2} (e_{-}(A_{+})^* + e_{+}(A_{-})^*) = (Fe_{-})A_{+} + (Fe_{+})A_{-} \quad (4.9)$$

giving

$$(16p^2)^{N/2} (A^{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_2 - r_1 \dots r_{N-l-m}}_{k_1 \dots k_m})^* = C(N, l, m) 2^l \prod_{s=1}^l \not{p} \beta_s^{-\alpha_s} A^{\beta_1 \dots \beta_l - k_1 \dots k_m}_{r_1 \dots r_{N-l-m}}$$

For the bosons we get

$$(B_{\mu r_1 \dots r_{N-l-m}}^{k_1 \dots k_m})^* = (-1)^m B_{\mu k_1 \dots k_m}^{r_1 \dots r_{N-l-m}} \quad (4.10)$$

and for fermions

$$(\psi_{\mu\alpha=r}^k)^* = \psi_{\mu\alpha=\bar{r}}^{\bar{k}} \quad (4.11)$$

Hence we get important reality conditions on the bosons when $m = N - l - m$, e.g. $(B_{\mu\nu'})^* = B_{\mu\nu'}$ in the $N = 4$ case.

Before we give the invariant Lagrangian for the transformation rules, we need to consider the dimensions of the field components. From (4.8a, b) it is apparent that the fermions share the same dimensionality, d , and that the bosons have dimensions $d - \frac{1}{2}$, $d + \frac{1}{2}$ for m odd/even respectively. Setting $d = -\frac{3}{2}$ we get physical bosons for m even and auxiliary for m odd and the Lagrangian looks like

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} = \sum_{l,m} f(l, m) (\psi_{\mu'k})^* \not{p} \psi_{\mu r_{N-l-m}}^{k_m} + b(l, m = 2n) (B_{\mu' r_{N-l-m}}^{k_m})^* p^2 B_{\mu' r}^k \\ + b(l, m = 2n + 1) (B_{\mu' r_{N-l-m}}^{k_m})^* B_{\mu' r}^k \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

The rules (4.8a, b) then give us values for the coefficients

$$f(l, m) = -\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)^{(l+1)/2} m! (N-l-m)! \quad b(l, m) = \left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)^{l/2} m! (N-l-m)! \quad (4.13)$$

where an extra factor of $\frac{1}{2}$ is needed in $b(l, m)$ when $2m + l = N$ and N is even, so that the boson is real in the real irrep. We have now given the transformation laws and Lagrangian for the general N , $Y = 0$ multiplet. It is possible in some cases to simplify the coefficients further but we feel that this detracts from the general nature of the equations.

5. Examples

(a) $N = 3$: $Y = 0$

We get the field components

$$\psi_{\mu\alpha+}, \psi_{r_1 r_2 \alpha+}, \psi_{r\alpha-}, \psi_{\alpha+}^{k_1 k_2}$$

$$B_{\mu r}, B_{\mu}^k, B_{r_1 r_2 r_3}, B_{r_1 r_2}^k, B_r^{k_1 k_2}, B^{k_1 k_2 k_3}$$

with the following transformation rules:

$$\delta B_{r_1 r_2 r_3} = (1/3!) \bar{\varepsilon}_{+[r_1} \psi_{+r_2 r_3]}$$

$$\delta B_{r_1 r_2}^k = (1/1!) (\bar{\varepsilon}_{-}^k \not{p} \psi_{+r_1 r_2} - \frac{1}{2} \bar{\varepsilon}_{-}^i \not{p} (\delta_{r_1}^k \psi_{+j r_2} + \delta_{r_2}^k \psi_{r_1 j}))$$

$$\quad - (1/2!) (\bar{\varepsilon}_{+[r_1} \not{p} \psi_{-r_2}^k - \frac{1}{2} \bar{\varepsilon}_{+j} \not{p} \delta_{[r_1}^k \psi_{-r_2]})$$

$$\delta B_r^{k_1 k_2} = (1/2!) (\bar{\varepsilon}_{-}^{[k_1} \psi_{-r}^{k_2]} - \frac{1}{2} \bar{\varepsilon}_{-}^i \delta_{r_1}^{[k_1} \psi_{-r_2]^{k_2}}) + (1/1!) (\bar{\varepsilon}_{-r} \psi_{+}^{k_1 k_2} - \frac{1}{2} \bar{\varepsilon}_{+j} (\delta_r^{k_1} \psi_{+}^{j k_2} + \delta_r^{k_2} \psi_{+}^{k_1 j}))$$

$$\delta B^{k_1 k_2 k_3} = (1/3!) \bar{\varepsilon}_{-}^{[k_1} \not{p} \psi_{+}^{k_2 k_3]}$$

$$\delta B_{\mu r} = -2i \bar{\varepsilon}_{-}^i \gamma_{\mu} \psi_{+i r} - i \bar{\varepsilon}_{+i} \gamma_{\mu} \psi_{-r}^i + (1/1!) \bar{\varepsilon}_{+r} \psi_{+\mu}$$

$$\delta B_{\mu}^k = i \bar{\varepsilon}_{-}^i \gamma_{\mu} \not{p} \psi_{-i}^k + (1/1!) \bar{\varepsilon}_{-}^k \not{p} \psi_{-\mu} - 2i \bar{\varepsilon}_{+i} \gamma_{\mu} \not{p} \psi_{+}^{i k}$$

$$\delta \psi_{r_1 r_2 \alpha+} = -6(\not{p} \varepsilon_{-}^i)_{\alpha} B_{i r_1 r_2} - 2\varepsilon_{\alpha+i} B_{r_1 r_2}^i + (i/2!) (\not{p} \gamma^{\nu} \varepsilon_{+[r_1} \alpha + B_{\nu r_2])}$$

$$\delta \psi_{r\alpha-}^k = 4\varepsilon_{\alpha-}^i B_{i r}^k + (1/1!) i ((\not{p} \gamma^{\nu} \varepsilon_{-}^k)_{\alpha} B_{\nu r} - \frac{1}{3} (\not{p} \gamma^{\nu} \varepsilon_{-}^i)_{\alpha} \delta_r^k B_{\nu j})$$

$$\quad - 4(\not{p} \varepsilon_{-i})_{\alpha} B_r^{i k} - (1/1!) i ((\gamma^{\nu} \varepsilon_{+r})_{\alpha} B_{\nu}^k - \frac{1}{3} (\gamma^{\nu} \varepsilon_{+j})_{\alpha} \delta_r^k B_{\nu j}^i)$$

$$\delta \psi_{\alpha+}^{k_1 k_2} = -2(\not{p} \varepsilon_{-}^i)_{\alpha} B_{i}^{k_1 k_2} + (1/2!) i (\gamma^{\nu} \varepsilon_{-}^{[k_1})_{\alpha} B_{\nu}^{k_2]} - 6\varepsilon_{\alpha+i} B^{i k_1 k_2}$$

$$\delta \psi_{\mu\alpha+} = -2(\not{p} \varepsilon_{-}^i)_{\alpha} B_{\mu i} - \frac{1}{3} (\gamma_{\mu} \gamma^{\nu} \not{p} \varepsilon_{-}^i)_{\alpha} B_{\nu i} - 2(\varepsilon_{\alpha+i} B_{\mu}^i - \frac{1}{3} (\gamma_{\mu} \gamma^{\nu} \varepsilon_{+i})_{\alpha} B_{\nu}^i)$$

$$\mathcal{L} = 3!(B_{r_1 r_2 r_3})^* p^2 B_{r_1 r_2 r_3} + 2!(B_{r_1 r_2}^k)^* B_{r_1 r_2}^k + 2!(B_r^{k_1 k_2})^* p^2 B_r^{k_1 k_2} + 3!(B^{k_1 k_2 k_3})^* B^{k_1 k_2 k_3}$$

$$\quad - \frac{1}{2} (B_{\mu r})^* p^2 B_{\mu r} - \frac{1}{2} (B_{\mu}^k)^* B_{\mu}^k + \frac{1}{2} 2! (\overline{\psi_{+r_1 r_2}})^* \not{p} \psi_{+r_1 r_2} + \frac{1}{2} (\overline{\psi_{r-}^k})^* \not{p} \psi_{r-}^k$$

$$\quad + \frac{1}{2} 2! (\overline{\psi_{+}^{k_1 k_2}})^* \not{p} \psi_{+}^{k_1 k_2} - \frac{1}{4} (\overline{\psi_{\mu+}})^* \not{p} \psi_{\mu+}.$$

(b) $N = 4$: $Y = 0$

We consider the $Y = 0$ representation with the component field of spin 2 to have dimension -2 . We therefore need the bosons with m even to be auxiliary. This we do by taking $d = -\frac{5}{2}$ and redefining the other fields:

$$\psi \rightarrow \not{p} \psi \quad B(m \text{ odd}) \rightarrow p^2 B.$$

Since we have a reality condition on the components (4.10), (4.11) our transformation rules are:

$$\delta B_{r_1 r_2 r_3 r_4} = (1/4!) \bar{\varepsilon}_{+[r_1} \not{p} \psi_{-r_2 r_3 r_4}] = \delta (B^{r_1 r_2 r_3 r_4})^*$$

$$\delta B_{r_1 r_2 r_3}^k = (\bar{\varepsilon}_{-}^k \not{p} \psi_{-r_1 r_2 r_3} - \frac{1}{2} \bar{\varepsilon}_{-}^i (\delta_{r_1}^k \psi_{-j r_2 r_3} + \delta_{r_2}^k \psi_{-r_1 j r_3} + \delta_{r_3}^k \psi_{-r_1 r_2 j}))$$

$$\quad - (1/3!) (\bar{\varepsilon}_{+[r_1} \psi_{+r_2 r_3}^k - \frac{1}{2} \bar{\varepsilon}_{+j} \delta_{[r_1}^k \psi_{+r_2 r_3]}) = -\delta (B_{r_1 r_2 r_3}^k)^*$$

$$\delta B_{r_1 r_2}^{k_1 k_2} = \frac{1}{4} (\bar{\varepsilon}_{-}^{[k_1} \not{p} \psi_{+r_1 r_2}^{k_2]} + \bar{\varepsilon}_{+[r_1} \not{p} \psi_{-r_2}^{k_1 k_2]})$$

$$\quad + \frac{1}{4} \varepsilon^{k_1 k_2 k_3 k_4} \varepsilon_{r_1 r_2 r_3 r_4} (\bar{\varepsilon}_{-}^{k_3} \not{p} \psi_{r_2 r_4}^{k_4} + \bar{\varepsilon}_{+r_3} \not{p} \psi_{-r_4}^{k_3 k_4}) = \delta (B_{k_1 k_2}^{r_1 r_2})^*$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \delta B_{\mu r_1 r_2} &= -3i\bar{\varepsilon}^i \gamma_\mu \not{p} \psi_{-ir_1 r_2} - i\bar{\varepsilon}_{+i} \gamma_\mu \not{p} \psi_{+r_1 r_2} + \frac{1}{2}\bar{\varepsilon}_{+[r_1} \not{p} \psi_{-\mu r_2]} = \delta(B_{\mu r_1 r_2}^i)^* \\
 \delta B_{\mu r}^k &= 2i\bar{\varepsilon}^i \gamma_\mu \psi_{+ir}^k + (\bar{\varepsilon}^k \psi_{-\mu r} - \frac{1}{4}\bar{\varepsilon}^i \delta_r^k \psi_{-\mu j}) \\
 &\quad - 2i\bar{\varepsilon}_{+i} \gamma_\mu \psi_{-\mu}^{ik} - (\bar{\varepsilon}_{+r} \psi_{+\mu}^k - \frac{1}{4}\bar{\varepsilon}_{+j} \delta_r^k \psi_{+\mu}^j) = -\delta(B_{\mu r}^k)^* \\
 \delta B_{\mu\nu} &= -\frac{1}{2}i\bar{\varepsilon}^i \gamma_{(\mu} \not{p} \psi_{\nu)-i} - \frac{1}{2}i\bar{\varepsilon}_{+i} \gamma_{(\mu} \not{p} \psi_{\nu)+} = \delta(B_{\mu\nu})^* \\
 \delta \psi_{\nu\alpha-r} &= -4(\varepsilon_{\alpha}^i B_{\nu ir} - \frac{1}{3}(\gamma_\nu \gamma^\mu \varepsilon^i)_{\alpha} B_{\mu ir}) + i(\gamma^\nu \varepsilon_{-r})_{\alpha} B_{\nu\mu} \\
 &\quad - 2((\not{p}\varepsilon_{+i})_{\alpha} B_{\nu r}^i - \frac{1}{3}(\gamma_\nu \gamma^\mu \not{p}\varepsilon_{+i})_{\alpha} B_{\mu r}^i) \\
 \delta \psi_{\alpha-r_1 r_2 r_3} &= -8\varepsilon_{\alpha}^i B_{ir_1 r_2 r_3} - 2(\not{p}\varepsilon_{+i})_{\alpha} B_{r_1 r_2 r_3}^i + \frac{1}{6}i(\gamma^\nu \varepsilon_{+[r_1})_{\alpha} B_{\nu r_2 r_3]} \\
 \delta \psi_{\alpha+r_1 r_2}^k &= 6(\not{p}\varepsilon^i)_{\alpha} B_{ir_1 r_2}^k + ((\gamma^\nu \varepsilon^k)_{\alpha} B_{\nu r_1 r_2} - \frac{1}{3}(\gamma^\nu \varepsilon^i)_{\alpha} B_{r_1}^k B_{\nu i r_2} + \delta_{r_1}^k B_{\nu i r_2} + \delta_{r_2}^k B_{\nu i r_1}) \\
 &\quad - 4\varepsilon_{\alpha+i} B_{r_1 r_2}^{ik} - \frac{1}{2}((\not{p}\gamma^\nu \varepsilon_{+[r_1})_{\alpha} B_{\nu r_2]} - \frac{1}{3}(\not{p}\gamma^\nu \varepsilon_{-j})_{\alpha} B_{\nu r_2}^k)
 \end{aligned}$$

when we take account of the reality conditions

$$(B_{r_1 r_2}^{k_1 k_2})^* = B_{k_1 k_2}^{r_1 r_2} \quad (B_{\mu\nu})^* = B_{\mu\nu} \quad (B_{\mu\nu}^k)^* = -B_{\mu k}^r$$

Our Lagrangian is then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{L} &= 4! B_{r_1 r_2 r_3 r_4}^{r_1 r_2 r_3 r_4} - 3! B_{k_1 r_2 r_3}^{r_1 r_2 r_3} p^2 B_{r_1 r_2 r_3}^k + \frac{1}{2} 2! B_{k_1 k_2}^{r_1 r_2} B_{r_1 r_2}^{k_1 k_2} - \frac{1}{2} 2! B_{\mu}^{r_1 r_2} B_{\mu r_1 r_2} \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{4} B_{\mu k}^r p^2 B_{\mu r}^k + \frac{1}{8} B_{\mu\nu} B_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} 3! \bar{\psi}_{\pm}^{r_1 r_2 r_3} \not{p} \psi_{-r_1 r_2 r_3} \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{2} 2! \bar{\psi}_{\pm}^{r_1 r_2} \not{p} \psi_{-r_1 r_2}^k - \frac{1}{4} \bar{\psi}_{\mu}^r \not{p} \psi_{\mu-r}
 \end{aligned}$$

(c) $N = 6$: $Y = 0$

We consider here only the irrep with maximum spin 3 having dimension -1 . Since this is a straightforward application of our formulae, we just give the table of the coefficients in the order in which they occur in equations (4.8):

Field	l	m	I	II	III	IV
$B_{r_1 \dots r_6}$	0	0	0	0	0	1/6!
$B_{r_1 \dots r_5}^k$	0	1	0	1/1!	0	-1/5!
$B_{r_1 \dots r_4}^{k_1 k_2}$	0	2	0	1/2!	0	1/4!
$B_{r_1 r_2 r_3}^{k_1 k_2 k_3}$	0	3	0	1/3!2	0	-1/3!2
$B_{\mu r_1 \dots r_6}$	2	0	-5	0	-1	1/4!
$B_{\mu r_1 r_2 r_3}^k$	2	1	4	1/1!	-2	-1/3!
$B_{\mu r_1 r_2}^{k_1 k_2}$	2	2	-3	1/2!	-3	1/2!
$B_{\mu_1 \mu_2 r_1 r_2}$	4	0	-3/2!	0	-1/2!	1/2!
$B_{\mu_1 \mu_2 r}^k$	4	1	2/2!	1/1!	-2/2!	-1/1!
$B_{\mu_1 \mu_2 \mu_3}$	6	0	-1/3!	0	-1/3!	0
$\psi_{\alpha+r_1 \dots r_5}$	1	0	-12	0	-2	1/5!
$\psi_{\alpha-r_1 \dots r_4}^k$	1	1	10	1/1!	-4	-1/4!
$\psi_{\alpha-r_1 r_2 r_3}^{k_1 k_2}$	1	2	-8	1/2!	-6	1/3!
$\psi_{\mu\alpha+r_1 r_2 r_3}$	3	0	-8	0	-2	1/3!
$\psi_{\mu\alpha-r_1 r_2}^k$	3	1	6	1	-4	-1/2!
$\psi_{\mu_1 \mu_2 \alpha-r}$	5	0	-4	0	-2	1

with all other fields given by the reality conditions (4.10) and (4.11).

Our Lagrangian is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} = & 6! B_{r_1 \dots r_6}^{r_1 \dots r_6} p^2 B_{r_1 \dots r_6}^k - 5! B_k^{r_1 \dots r_5} B_{r_1 \dots r_5}^k \\ & + 2! 4! B_{k_1 k_2}^{r_1 \dots r_4} p^2 B_{r_1 \dots r_4}^{k_1 k_2} - \frac{1}{2} 3! 3! B_{k_1 k_2 k_3}^{r_1 r_2 r_3} B_{r_1 r_2 r_3}^{k_1 k_2 k_3} \\ & - \frac{1}{2} 4! B_{\mu}^{r_1 \dots r_4} p^2 B_{\mu r_1 \dots r_4}^k + \frac{1}{2} 3! B_{\mu k}^{r_1 r_2 r_3} B_{\mu r_1 r_2 r_3}^k \\ & - \frac{1}{2} 2! 2! B_{\mu k_1 k_2}^{r_1 r_2} p^2 B_{\mu r_1 r_2}^{k_1 k_2} + \frac{1}{2} 2! B_{\mu_1 \mu_2}^{r_1 r_2} p^2 B_{\mu_1 \mu_2 r_1 r_2}^k \\ & - \frac{1}{8} B_{\mu_1 \mu_2 k}^{r_1} B_{\mu_1 \mu_2 r}^k - \frac{1}{16} B_{\mu_1 \mu_2 \mu_3} p^2 B_{\mu_1 \mu_2 \mu_3}^k + \frac{1}{2} 5! \bar{\psi}_{-r_1 \dots r_5}^{r_1 \dots r_5} p \psi_{-r_1 \dots r_5} \\ & + \frac{1}{2} 4! \bar{\psi}_{+k}^{r_1 \dots r_4} p \psi_{-r_1 \dots r_4}^k + \frac{1}{2} 2! 3! \bar{\psi}_{-k_1 k_2 k_3}^{r_1 r_2 r_3} p \psi_{+r_1 r_2 r_3}^{k_1 k_2 k_3} - \frac{1}{3} 3! \bar{\psi}_{\mu}^{r_1 r_2 r_3} p \psi_{\mu + r_1 r_2 r_3} \\ & - \frac{1}{2} 2! \bar{\psi}_{\mu+k}^{r_1 r_2} p \psi_{\mu - r_1 r_2}^k + \frac{1}{8} \bar{\psi}_{\mu_1 \mu_2}^{r_1} p \psi_{\mu_1 \mu_2 + r_1} \end{aligned}$$

(d) $N = 8: Y = 0$

We again just give the table of coefficients and the Lagrangian for the $Y = 0$ irrep with maximum spin having dimension -1 . We also have a reality condition on the fields given by (4.10) and (4.11).

Field	l	m	I	II	III	IV
$B_{r_1 \dots r_8}$	0	0	0	0	0	1/8!
$B_{r_1 \dots r_7}^k$	0	1	0	1/1!	0	-1/7!
$B_{r_1 \dots r_6}^{k_1 k_2}$	0	2	0	1/2!	0	1/6!
$B_{r_1 \dots r_5}^{k_1 k_2 k_3}$	0	3	0	1/3!	0	-1/5!
$B_{r_1 \dots r_4}^{k_1 k_2 k_3 k_4}$	0	4	0	1/4!2	0	1/4!2
$B_{\mu r_1 \dots r_6}$	2	0	-7	0	-1	1/6!
$B_{\mu r_1 \dots r_5}^k$	2	1	6	1/1!	-2	-1/5!
$B_{\mu_1 \mu_2}^{r_1 r_2}$	2	2	-5	1/2!	-3	1/4!
$B_{\mu_1 r_1 r_2 r_3}^{k_1 k_2 k_3}$	2	3	4	1/3!	-4	-1/3!
$B_{\mu_1 \mu_2 r_1 \dots r_4}^k$	4	0	-5/2!	0	-1/2!	1/4!
$B_{\mu_1 \mu_2 r_1 r_2 r_3}^k$	4	1	4/2!	1/1!	-2/2!	-1/3!
$B_{\mu_1 \mu_2 r_1 r_2}^{k_1 k_2}$	4	2	-3/2!	1/2!	-3/2!	1/2!
$B_{\mu_1 \mu_2 \mu_3 r_1 r_2}^k$	6	0	-3/3!	0	-1/3!	1/2!
$B_{\mu_1 \mu_2 \mu_3 r}^k$	6	1	2/3!	1/1!	-2/3!	-1/1!
$B_{\mu_1 \mu_2 \mu_3 \mu_4}$	8	0	-1/4!	0	-1/4!	0
$\psi_{\alpha + r_1 \dots r_7}^k$	1	0	-16	0	-2	1/7!
$\psi_{\alpha - r_1 \dots r_6}^k$	1	1	14	1/1!	-4	-1/6!
$\psi_{\alpha + r_1 \dots r_5}^{k_1 k_2}$	1	2	-12	1/2!	-6	1/5!
$\psi_{\alpha - r_1 \dots r_4}^{k_1 k_2 k_3}$	1	3	10	1/3!	-8	-1/4!
$\psi_{\mu \alpha + r_1 \dots r_5}^k$	3	0	-12	0	-2	1/5!
$\psi_{\mu \alpha - r_1 \dots r_4}^k$	3	1	10	1/1!	-4	-1/4!
$\psi_{\mu \alpha + r_1 r_2 r_3}^{k_1 k_2}$	3	2	-8	1/2!	-6	1/3!
$\psi_{\mu_1 \mu_2 \alpha + r_1 r_2 r_3}$	5	0	-8	0	-2	1/3!
$\psi_{\mu_1 \mu_2 \alpha - r_1 r_2}^k$	5	1	6	1	-4	-1/2!
$\psi_{\mu_1 \mu_2 \mu_3 \alpha + r}$	7	0	-4	0	-2	1

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} = & 8! B_{r_1 \dots r_8}^{r_1 \dots r_8} p^2 B_{r_1 \dots r_8}^k - 7! B_k^{r_1 \dots r_7} B_{r_1 \dots r_7}^k \\ & + 2! 6! B_{k_1 k_2}^{r_1 \dots r_6} p^2 B_{r_1 \dots r_6}^{k_1 k_2} - 3! 5! B_{k_1 k_2 k_3}^{r_1 \dots r_5} B_{r_1 \dots r_5}^{k_1 k_2 k_3} \\ & + \frac{1}{2} 4! 4! B_{k_1 \dots k_4}^{r_1 \dots r_4} p^2 B_{r_1 \dots r_4}^{k_1 \dots k_4} - \frac{1}{2} 6! B_{\mu}^{r_1 \dots r_6} p^2 B_{\mu r_1 \dots r_6}^k + \frac{1}{2} 5! B_{\mu}^{r_1 \dots r_5} B_{\mu r_1 \dots r_5}^k \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & -\frac{1}{2}2!4!B_{\mu k_1 k_2}^{r_1 \dots r_4} p^2 B_{\mu r_1 \dots r_4}^{k_1 k_2} + \frac{1}{4}3!3!B_{\mu k_1 k_2 k_3}^{r_1 r_2 r_3} B_{\mu r_1 r_2 r_3}^{k_1 k_2 k_3} \\
 & + \frac{1}{4}4!B_{\mu_1 \mu_2}^{r_1 \dots r_4} p^2 B_{\mu_1 \mu_2 r_1 \dots r_4} - \frac{1}{4}3!B_{\mu_1 \mu_2 k}^{r_1 r_2 r_3} B_{\mu_1 \mu_2 r_1 r_2 r_3}^k \\
 & + \frac{1}{8}2!2!B_{\mu_1 \mu_2 k_1 k_2}^{r_1 r_2} p^2 B_{\mu_1 \mu_2 r_1 r_2}^{k_1 k_2} - \frac{1}{8}2!B_{\mu_1 \mu_2 \mu_3}^{r_1 r_2} p^2 B_{\mu_1 \mu_2 \mu_3 r_1 r_2} \\
 & + \frac{1}{16}B_{\mu_1 \mu_2 \mu_3 k}^r B_{\mu_1 \mu_2 \mu_3 r}^k + \frac{1}{32}B_{\mu_1 \mu_2 \mu_3 \mu_4} p^2 B_{\mu_1 \mu_2 \mu_3 \mu_4} \\
 & + \frac{1}{2}7!\bar{\psi}_{\mu}^{r_1 \dots r_7} \not{p} \psi_{\mu+r_1 \dots r_7} + \frac{1}{2}6!\bar{\psi}_{\mu+k}^{r_1 \dots r_6} \not{p} \psi_{\mu-r_1 \dots r_6}^k \\
 & + \frac{1}{2}2!5!\bar{\psi}_{\mu-k_1 k_2}^{r_1 \dots r_5} \not{p} \psi_{\mu+r_1 \dots r_5}^{k_1 k_2} + \frac{1}{2}3!4!\bar{\psi}_{\mu+k_1 k_2 k_3}^{r_1 \dots r_4} \not{p} \psi_{\mu-r_1 r_2 r_3 r_4}^{k_1 k_2 k_3} \\
 & - \frac{1}{4}5!\bar{\psi}_{\mu-r_1 \dots r_5} \not{p} \psi_{\mu+r_1 \dots r_5} - \frac{1}{4}4!\bar{\psi}_{\mu+k}^{r_1 \dots r_4} \not{p} \psi_{\mu-r_1 \dots r_4}^k \\
 & - \frac{1}{2}2!3!\bar{\psi}_{\mu-k_1 k_2}^{r_1 r_2 r_3} \not{p} \psi_{\mu+r_1 r_2 r_3}^{k_1 k_2} + \frac{1}{8}3!\bar{\psi}_{\mu_1 \mu_2}^{r_1 r_2 r_3} \not{p} \psi_{\mu_1 \mu_2+r_1 r_2 r_3} \\
 & + \frac{1}{8}2!\bar{\psi}_{\mu_1 \mu_2+k}^{r_1 r_2} \not{p} \psi_{\mu_1 \mu_2-r_1 r_2}^k - \frac{1}{16}\bar{\psi}_{\mu_1 \mu_2 \mu_3}^r \not{p} \psi_{\mu_1 \mu_2 \mu_3+r}
 \end{aligned}$$

6. Discussion

In order to use the irreps presented so far to build the off-shell associated supergravities, it is necessary to construct further irreps with higher values of Y and $SU(N)$ content. In particular, for $N=4$ SYM it is necessary to take the $SU(4)$ 15-dimensional adjoint representation attached to all the component fields in the $N=4$ irrep given in the last section. The resulting irrep will then have the correct $SU(4)$ assignments for a singlet spin 1, a quartet of spin $\frac{1}{2}$ and a **6** of spin 0 (Taylor 1982b, c, Taylor and Rivelles 1982, Rivelles and Taylor 1982d). The remaining fields will have to be removed by appropriate central charge irreps which have to be constructed by different techniques from those used in the present paper. This is because, in the presence of central charges, chirality is no longer useful to classify irreps and, in particular, the fundamental irrep is no longer purely chiral or anti-chiral. We have developed techniques through dimensional reduction which allow us to obtain such irreps with more than one central charge. They will be described elsewhere. A similar situation arises for $N=4, 6$ and 8 supergravity where appropriate central charge irreps are also needed, as well as the irreps without central charges but with higher Y and $SU(N)$ content. We will also report on this elsewhere.

Besides these applications to constructing linearised extended SYM and SGRs, we are interested in the possibility of extending certain of these irreps to be local representations. This will then allow for nonlinear supergravities to be constructed more directly.

Finally, we remark that these representations for $N>8$ are of interest in the construction of higher-spin interacting field theories. The question here is whether it is possible to nonlinearise these theories by suitable Noether techniques. It is usually said that such theories would be internally inconsistent. It is possible that such representations may avoid these difficulties, though further work would be needed to show this.

References

- Ferrara S and van Nieuwenhuizen P 1978 *Phys. Lett.* **74B** 333
 Ferrara S and Savoy C A 1982 *Representation of Extended Supersymmetry on One and Two Particle States in Supergravity 81* ed S Ferrara and J G Taylor (Cambridge: CUP) pp 47–84

- Ferrara S, Savoy C A and Zumino B 1981 *Phys. Lett.* **100B** 393
- Firth R J and Jenkins J D 1975 *Nucl. Phys. B* **85** 525
- Fradkin E and Vasiliev M A 1979 *Lett. Nuovo Cimento* **25** 79
- Jarvis P D 1976 *J. Math. Phys.* **17** 916
- Pickup C *Representations of Supersymmetry and their Applications*, University of London PhD thesis (unpublished)
- Pickup C and Taylor J G 1981 *Nucl. Phys. B* **188** 577
- Rittenberg V and Sokatchev E 1981 *Bonn preprint Bonn-He-81-5*
- Rivelles V O and Taylor J G 1981 *Phys. Lett.* **104B** 131
- 1982a *On the Non-Minimal Versions of linearised $N = 1$ Supergravity*, *Phys. Lett.* **113B** 467
- 1982b *All Other Minimal Sets of Auxiliary Fields for $N = 2$ Supergravity*, *Phys. Lett.* B to appear
- 1982c *Higher-dimensional off-shell no-go theorems for supersymmetries and supergravities*, *Phys. Lett.* to appear
- 1982d *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **15** 2819
- Siegel W and Gates S J 1981 *Nucl. Phys. B* **189** 295
- Sohnius M and West P C 1981 *An Alternative Minimal Off-Shell Version of $N = 1$ Supergravity*, *ICTP preprint* (unpublished)
- Stelle K and West P C 1978 *Phys. Lett.* **74B** 330
- Taylor J G 1980a *Nucl. Phys. B* **169** 484
- 1980b *Phys. Lett.* **94B** 174
- 1982a *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **15** 867
- 1982b *Representations of Extended Supersymmetries and linearised Supergravities* in *Supergravity 81* ed S Ferrara and J G Taylor (Cambridge: CUP) pp 17–46
- 1982c *Building Extended Supergravities* in *Proc. Nuffield Conf. on Quantum Gravity* ed M Duff and C J Isham (Cambridge: CUP) (to appear)
- Taylor J G and Rivelles V O 1982 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **15** 163
- de Wit B, Bergshoeff E, de Roo M, van Holten J W and Van Proeyen A 1981 *Conformal Supergravity in Superspace and Supergravity* ed M Rocek and S Hawking (Cambridge: CUP) pp 237–56
- de Wit B and van Holten J W 1979 *Nucl. Phys. B* **155** 530